

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP03/09432

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl' H04N1/00, H04L12/56			
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC			
B. FIELDS SEARCHED			
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> H04N1/00, G06F3/09-3/12, G06F13/00-13/42, H04L12/00-12/66			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Japanese Utility Model Gazette 1926-1996, Japanese Publication of Unexamined Utility Model Applications 1971-2003, Japanese Registered Utility Model Gazette 1994-2003, Japanese Gazette Containing the Utility Model 1996-2003			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)			
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	ropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	THOMSON, S., and NARTEN, T. R Comments 2462 IPv6 Stateles Autoconfiguration. The Inte Task Force (IETF) [online], 1998 [retrieved on 2003-10-2 from the Internet: <url: http:="" www.ietxt=""> US 5987494 A (BROTHER KOGYO lines 9-29, column 4 &amp; JP 10</url:>	rnet Engineering December [2]. Retrieved etf.org/rfc/rfc2462  KK.) 1999.11.16,	2,4
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		<ul> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination</li> </ul>	
means being "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than "&" docur		being obvious to a person skilled in th	e art
the priority date claimed		Date of mailing of the international search report	
22.10.03		04.11.03	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer	5H 8110
Japan Patent Office		Seiji Teshima	Stal L
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan		Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101	Ext. 3531



International application No.

PCT/JP03/09432

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)		
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
1.	Claims Nos.: 5,6 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  The subject matter of claims 5,6 relates to programs for computers, which does not require an intentional search by the International Searching Authority in accordance with PCT Article 17(2)(a)(i) and Rule 39.1(vi).		
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:		
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).		
ВохП	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)		
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.		
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.		
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:		
4. Remark	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
Acuiair	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.		